

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE**

United States of America

v.

Case No. 07-mj-029-03-JM

Ian Burns

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

In accordance with Section 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3141 et seq., a hearing was conducted on May 17, 2007, for the purpose of determining whether to detain defendant, Ian Burns, who has been indicted on one count of conspiracy to commit bank robbery, one count of aiding and abetting bank robbery and one count of possession of a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence.

Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b), a court, in making a determination regarding detention, must evaluate the risk of the defendant's flight, the risk to the safety of any other person, and the risk to the safety of the community. In circumstances when detention is not mandated by the court, the court is nonetheless empowered to impose conditions on release. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(c).

Pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) a court, in assessing the risks noted in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b), shall

consider the following: (1) the nature and circumstances of the offense charged; (2) the weight of the evidence as to guilt; (3) the history and characteristics of the accused, including family ties, past history, financial resources and employment; and (4) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by a release.

During the course of a hearing conducted pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142, the government typically retains the burden of persuading the court that "'no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure' the defendant's presence at trial." United States v. Perez-Franco, 839 F.2d 867, 870 (1st Cir. 1988) (quoting United States v. Palmer-Contreras, 835 F.2d 15, 17-18 (1st Cir. 1987)); United States v. Patriarca, 948 F.2d 789, 793 (1st Cir. 1991). For its part, the government is required to offer a preponderance of the evidence to prove risk of flight. See Patriarca, 948 F.2d at 792-93. Facts necessary to find that no combination will reasonably assure the safety of any person and the community require satisfaction of the "clear and convincing" standard. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2).

In the case at hand, the indictment itself constitutes probable cause to believe that the offenses charged have been

committed and that the defendant has committed them.

Here, I find that the government has met its burden with regard to danger to the community. Specifically, the weight of the evidence is substantial. The weapon used by Corey Donovan was a Kel Tec rifle. Two co-conspirators state that this defendant provided that weapon to Donovan knowing Donovan would use it to rob a bank. Defendant also assisted in the "escape" of Donovan and the snowmobile after the robbery. Statements implicating Burns were made by Donovan in the presence of non-conspirators. A woman witness reported that Corey gave Burns \$1,000 of the \$10,000 obtained from the bank. Barnes bought the Kel Tec on July 26, 2006 from "Abe's Armaments". His participation in this crime of violence and particularly his role in providing the weapon greatly outweigh any ties to the community so far as danger to the community is concerned.

I am satisfied from the representations and documents offered during the hearing that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of the community.

Upon full consideration of the arguments offered by the government and defense, I am satisfied that the defendant poses a danger to the community.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the defendant be detained pending trial.

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

SO ORDERED.



James R. Muirhead
United States Magistrate Judge

Date: May 17, 2007
cc: Terry L. Ollila, AUSA
Mark L. Sisti, Esq.
Paul J. Garrity, Esq.
Liam D. Scully, Esq.
Sven D. Wiberg, Esq.
U.S. Marshal
U.S. Probation